

AD-A054 674

BROWN UNIV PROVIDENCE R I DEPT OF CHEMISTRY

F/G 20/6

SAMPLE MODULATED RAMAN SPECTROSCOPY AND FREQUENCY MODULATED VIS--ETC(U)

APR 78 C T TZINIS, R H BAUGHMAN, S K BAHL


N00014-75-C-0883

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-78-01


NL

1 OF 1  
AD  
A054674



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
6-78

DOC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

FOR FURTHER TRAN ~~TRAN~~

12

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract NO0014-75-C-0883

Task No. NR 051-539

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 78-01

Sample Modulated Raman Spectroscopy and Frequency Modulated  
Visible Light: Resonance Raman Spectrum of a Polydiacetylene  
Fiber

by

C. T. Tzinis, R. H. Baughman, S. K. Bahl, P. Davidson and  
W. M. Risen, Jr.

Prepared for Publication

in

Review of Scientific Instrumentation

Department of Chemistry  
Brown University  
Providence, Rhode Island 02912

20 April, 1978

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for  
any purpose of the United States Government

Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited

AD A 054674

AD No.         
RDC FILE COPY

DDC  
RECEIVED  
JUN 6 1978  
B

(14) TR-78-01

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Sample Modulated Raman Spectroscopy and Frequency Modulated Visible Light: Resonance Raman Spectrum of a Polydiacetylene Fiber.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report
6. AUTHOR(s) Costas Tzinis, Ray Baughman, Surinder K. Bahl, Paul Davidson, William M. Risen, Jr		7. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER TR-78-01v
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Chemistry Brown University, Providence, RI 02912		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-75-C-0883 NR-031-539
10. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 27p
11. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE 20 Apr 78
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 13
14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Raman spectroscopy, modulation, stress modulation, frequency modulation, polydiacetylene, fiber, polymer, resonance Raman, modulation spectroscopy.		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Certain Raman-active vibrational modes of polydiacetylene fibers shift in frequency as they are stretched. Thus, the frequency of the Raman-scattered visible light itself shifts as the fiber sample is subjected to a tensile stress. In this work a fiber has been subjected to a periodic tensile stress, and the frequency of the Raman scattered light was found to be modulated at the frequency of this stress. When the Raman signal was detected phase sensitively and frequency-locked at the stress modulation		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE  
S/N 0102-014-6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

405 436

AW

Sample Modulated Raman Spectroscopy and Frequency Modulated  
Visible Light: Resonance Raman Spectrum of a Polydiacetylene  
Fiber

Costas Tzinis, Surinder K. Bahl  
Paula Davidson and William M. Risen, Jr. \*

Department of Chemistry  
Brown University  
Providence, Rhode Island 02912

and

Ray H. Baughman  
Allied Chemical Company  
Morristown, New Jersey 07960

Introduction

Certain Raman-active vibrational modes of any material are sensitive to external stresses applied to it. The change in the frequency, intensity or polarization of the Raman scattered light as a result of the stress is usually small. Often a rather great stress must be applied to cause a significant change in the Raman band parameters. However, where a significant spectral change in a Raman band does occur upon applying a stress, in the low strain region the change can be made to occur periodically by applying the stress periodically. If this is done and the Raman signal, which is modulated at the frequency of the applied stress, is detected phase sensitively, the resulting spectrum is a differential spectrum. This sample modulated Raman (SMR) spectrum should contain only bands for modes which are

ACCESSION NO.	
NTIS	Micro Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	Diff Section <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	AVAIL. and/or SPECIAL
A	

sensitive to the stress applied. Furthermore, the root mean square amplitude of the differential band is related to the sensitivity of the mode to the stress.

Although modulation techniques have been applied in Raman spectroscopy, they generally involve modulation of some characteristic of the incident or scattered light while the sample is essentially unchanged<sup>1</sup> during the measurement. Similarly, modulation techniques, in which the sample is modulated, are well known in optical reflectance, transmission and related spectroscopies.<sup>2</sup> In this paper we report the observation of sample modulated Raman (SMR) spectra of a highly oriented polymer fiber and suggest the application of the method to other systems for which SMR could provide valuable information about molecular manifestations of externally applied stress.

Recently we showed<sup>3</sup> that the frequencies of several of the Raman bands of single crystal fibers of a polydiacetylene shift as a tensile stress is applied along the fiber axis, which is also the polymer-chain axis direction. These frequencies were found to vary linearly with stress in the 0-2% range. As shown in Figure 1, shifts of up to  $30\text{ cm}^{-1}$  were found for the  $\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{C})$  mode. This polydiacetylene, hereinafter referred to as HDU, has the composition  $(\text{R}-\text{C}_4-\text{R})_n$ , where R is  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5-\text{NH}-\text{OCOCH}_2-$  and has the overall structure shown in Figure 2. The HDU fibers were chosen for this work both because of the large stress-induced spectral shift of certain

of its bands and because the laser Raman spectrum is strongly resonantly enhanced.

#### Experimental Method

The experiment involves stressing an HDU fiber periodically and detecting the Raman signal that is modulated at the stress frequency. A schematic diagram of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 3, and involves a fairly standard electronic arrangement for modulation spectroscopy.

The transducer makes use of a piezoelectric crystal (PZT) to convert the periodic electrical wave form into a periodic displacement (i.e., a displacement which is linearly related to the a.c. voltage) and thus to apply the periodic axial stress to the fiber. This PZT-based transducer, shown in Figure 4, is designed to apply a maximum stress on the order of 0.1% which should thereby, cause a shift of about  $2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the  $\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{C})$  band and about  $1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the  $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$  band frequency. In order to achieve this, we used a piezoelectric crystal of 2.54 cm diameter and 0.16 cm thickness having a material constant of  $3.75 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm/V}$ . Using a peak-to-peak driving voltage ca 1000v across the thickness of the PZT, a change in diameter (length) of 0.01% is obtained. This was amplified by the factor 10 by a lever arrangement, which, while not necessary in principle, was more convenient in order to obtain the desired 0.1% stress than other approaches in these experiments.

As noted in Figure 3, the signal from the oscillator of



the lock-in amplifier provided the wave form which shaped the voltage applied to the PZT by the Burleigh RC-42 ramp generator and thereby stressed the sample. The sample was illuminated with ca 4mw of 632.8nm radiation from a Spectra-Physics 124 He-Ne laser. The Raman scattered light was collected into a Jarrell-Ash 25-300 Raman spectrometer and detected with an ITT-FW-130 photomultiplier. The signal was preamplified and then detected by a PAR-124A lock-in amplifier using phase sensitive detection.

The detected and amplified signal is  $\Delta I$  (see Figure 6), the difference in Raman intensities in the stressed and relaxed cycles, and is measured continuously at each frequency the monochromator passes to the detector. The fiber was given a small initial stress to length ( $l + \Delta l$ ) which is the zero-point length. Thus, in the "stress phase" of the cycle it went to length ( $l + 2\Delta l$ ) and in the "relaxed phase" to length  $l$ . The approximate magnitude of  $\Delta l$  is  $4 \times 10^{-4}$  cm. When  $\Delta I$  is plotted versus monochromator frequency, the SMR spectrum is observed.

### Results

Sample Modulated Raman Spectra. The Raman spectrum of a static stressed HDU fiber is shown in the 2070-2120  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  region in Figure 5. The SMR spectrum in the same region is shown in Figure 6. The Raman band in this region has been assigned<sup>3</sup> to a vibration of the polymer backbone which is essentially a carbon-carbon triple bond stretch,  $\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{C})$ . The Raman and SMR spectra in the region of the  $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$  band of backbone are similar to those for the  $\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{C})$  band. The SMR



spectra displayed in these figures were taken at a modulating (sample stretching) frequency of 224 Hz with a time constant of 30 sec and a spectrometer scan rate of  $0.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}\text{sec}^{-1}$ . Essentially similar spectra were obtained with smaller time constant (i.e. 10 sec), the only difference being a higher noise level (see Figure 7).

No SMR bands were observed for other Raman bands for the HDU fiber under the conditions used. This probably is due to two factors. First, the vibrations corresponding to other bands are not significantly stress-dependent, which is the case expected for the modes associated with bonds that are not in the polymer backbone. Second, the laser power used is quite low (4mW) so the dominant features in the Raman spectrum are those that are resonantly enhanced while the others are very weak. Source laser powers of much greater than 5mW at 632.8nm decompose the HDU fibers. With other types of samples it could be feasible to increase the source power greatly (by a factor of  $10^2$  to  $10^3$ , for example, resulting in stronger Raman and therefore SMR spectra.

Frequency Modulated Visible Light. The SMR experiment is successful because the Raman scattered light is itself frequency modulated (FM). This FM visible light occurs at  $\nu = \nu_o - \nu_i + (\delta\nu_i)\sin(2\pi f t)$  where  $\nu_o$  is the frequency of the incident laser line,  $\nu_i$  is the vibrational frequency of the bond when the fiber is at its zero point in the "stress-relaxation" cycle,  $(\delta\nu_i)$  is the magnitude of the shift in the vibrational

frequency at the maximum or minimum in that cycle, and  $f$  is the frequency at which the a.c. stress is applied to the fiber. Since the phase sensitive lock-in amplifier is locked to the frequency  $f$  and the d.c. component is rejected, only visible light modulated at frequency  $f$  is amplified and detected. Thus, FM visible light is obtained by this method.

The intensity of the FM light has not been measured quantitatively with all fiber, source and optical parameters varied and controlled, but is high enough that these spectra were obtainable with an incident laser power of 4 mW at 632.8 nm. The scattered light is highly polarized because of the high degree of molecular orientation in the fiber. The ability to obtain a differential spectrum with such low incident power is due to the fact that the Raman bands being studied are resonantly enhanced.

#### Discussion

An interpretation of the stress dependence of these Raman bands of HDU fibers has been presented previously, and need not be discussed further here. What is of interest is that the SMR spectrum is the derivative of the Raman spectrum with respect to stress on the sample. The quantitative interpretation of the SMR spectrum requires considering the way in which the magnitude of the signal from the lock-in amplifier depends on the properties of the sample (fiber) and of the optical and electronic devices used.

In order to illustrate which features dominate the SMR spectrum, we consider the problem from the interaction

of the radiation with this sample to the amplification and detection of the FM light by the lock-in system. To do so, we assume first the simplest time-dependent external perturbation, a square wave, to the system, and study the resulting SMR spectrum. And, we assume that the relaxation time in the two processes (expansion of the PZT crystal and therefore of the fiber in the positive half period of the "driving" signal and contraction of the fiber and the PZT crystal in the negative half) are negligible, and the intensities detected by the PM tube are  $I_{R-}(\nu_0 - \nu_i - \delta\nu)$  and  $I_{R+}(\nu_0 - \nu_i + \delta\nu)$ , respectively. The FM scattered light, after amplification and phase-sensitive detection, is the difference ( $\Delta I_R$ ) between the intensities  $I_{R-}$  and  $I_{R+}$ . Now, it is easily shown that if  $\delta\nu$  is small compared to the bandwidth,  $\Delta I_R$  is proportional to the first derivative of the Raman intensity with respect to Raman shift.

The effect of each of these simplifying assumptions on the shape of the SMR spectrum is as follows. First, the relaxation time is not entirely negligible and is different in magnitude for the two processes (expansion and contraction). The use of a sine-wave driving signal, of low frequency  $f$ , in the experiment reduces the effects of relaxation times different from zero, but it does not eliminate them completely. When the PZT element expands, the relaxation properties of the system are dominated by the relaxation properties of the ceramic PZT. In the other half of the period the crystal contracts and the fiber itself drives the whole system (lever, etc.) in such direction that the fiber attempts to reach its original length ( $l$ ). Undoubtedly

the fiber would contract very fast (ca. with the velocity of the sound in perfect crystals) if it did not have to rotate the lever system, which has a mass at least  $10^4$  greater than the fiber. The result of these effects is that the SMR spectrum is distorted and unsymmetrical, and the maximum SMR signal is recorded with phase difference between driving signal and FM scattered light of approximately  $13^\circ$ .

Secondly, the effect of the fluorescence background is to shift the whole SMR spectrum relative to the zero signal level and broaden one of the two lobes of the SMR band. Although the SMR spectrum crosses the zero close to the frequency that corresponds to the maximum Raman signal, it doesn't cross at exactly that frequency, as would be expected if no background were present. Third, the asymmetry of the Raman band itself affects the shape of the SMR spectrum by giving rise to two unequal bands (the sharper the curve, the bigger the SMR signal). And, finally, the imperfection of the fibers causes a broadening to the lower frequency (more shifted) side of the Raman band, and that also contributes toward increasing the asymmetry of the SMR spectrum.

The signal level is in the range 1-5 $\mu$ V, so the resulting SMR spectrum is not noise-free. For systems with larger induced spectral shifts, or systems which can be illuminated with higher power, the differential signal will have a better signal to noise ratio. The spectrum given in Figure 7 is calculated using a square wave signal and all the approximations mentioned above. Even though it does not coincide with the

experimental curve, it is very close to it and explains its qualitative features.

The SMR spectra should contain bands only for those Raman-active modes which are stress-dependent, and thereby should "pick out" the bonds whose vibrations show them to be responsive to this stress. In principle, then, it should be possible to find out which molecular features are important in responding to a stress in a very complex material, something that is difficult to study by other methods. The obvious examples are biological systems, such as membranes, which have different characteristics (and presumably molecular structures) in stressed and unstressed conditions, have complex compositions and vibrational spectra, and which require measurement in aqueous media. It is feasible that SMR spectroscopy could show which bonds change, and how they change when the system responds to the stress.

The application of SMR to polymer problems and to the study of absorbed and adsorbed species should be straightforward and productive. However, the application to materials whose morphology and moduli are such that great stresses would have to be applied to large samples will present technological problems that may not be worth solving just to obtain a single SMR spectrum when a series of static measurements would suffice. For many systems of biological and chemical interest SMR spectra may provide a useful new approach.

This method for obtaining frequency modulated visible light may prove to have many applications in information transfer systems. Although the intensity of this light is not high, the ability to obtain it at selected frequencies is attractive. Moreover, the intensity should be improvable by several orders of magnitude with other materials and higher source powers.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research. We are grateful to Dr. Lewis Rubin of Princeton Applied Research for the loan of the PAR-124A and Dr. Ronald Chance for helpful discussions.


Footnotes and References


1. A spinning sample (in other experiments), where the sampleholder's configuration and action has the same effect as would a mechanical or polarization chopper in the incident or exciting beam, is taken to be unchanged (not modulated) during the experiment.
2. M. Cardona, "Modulation Spectroscopy" Academic Press, New York, 1969.
3. V. K. Mitra, W. M. Risen, Jr., and R. H. Baughman, J. Chem. Phys. 66, 6 (1977).





Figure Captions


1. Schematic bonding diagram of the polydiacetylene.
2. Stress dependences of the 2105- and 1498  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  Raman bands of HDU polydiacetylene fibers.
3. Schematic diagram of the experimental setup for the SMR experiments.
4. Diagram of the stress modulation transducer.
5. Raman spectrum of a stressed HDU fiber in the 2100  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  region, (a) experimental curve (solid line), and (b) curve calculated by Lorentzian fit to obtain function used in calculating SMR spectrum of Figure 6 (dotted line)
6. SMR spectrum of the HDU fiber in the 2100  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  region, (a) experimental curve (solid line), (b) curve calculated as described in text (dotted line).
7. SMR spectra of HDU fiber taken with time constants of 10 seconds (dotted line) and 30 seconds (solid line).



















TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 472	2	Defense Documentation Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12
Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 102IP 1	6	U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709 Attn: CRD-AA-IP	1
ONR Branch Office 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605 Attn: Dr. Jerry Smith	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, California 92152 Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney	1
ONR Branch Office 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003 Attn: Scientific Dept.	1	Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555 Attn: Head, Chemistry Division	1
ONR Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106 Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, California 93041 Attn: Mr. W. S. Haynes	1
ONR Branch Office 760 Market Street, Rm. 447 San Francisco, California 94102 Attn: Dr. P. A. Miller	1	Professor O. Heinz Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
ONR Branch Office 495 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210 Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles	1	Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390 Attn: Code 6100	1	Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller	1
The Asst. Secretary of the Navy (R&D) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1		
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360 Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser)	1		

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Dr. D. A. Vroom IRT P.O. Box 80817 San Diego, California 92138	1	Dr. R. W. Vaughan California Institute of Technology Division of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering Pasadena, California 91125	1
Dr. G. A. Somorjai University of California Department of Chemistry Berkeley, California 94720	1	Dr. Keith H. Johnson Massachusetts Institute of Technology Department of Metallurgy and Materials Science Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1
Dr. L. N. Jarvis Surface Chemistry Division 4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Dr. M. S. Wrighton Massachusetts Institute of Technology Department of Chemistry Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1
<del>Dr. W. M. Risen, Jr. Brown University Department of Chemistry Providence, Rhode Island 02912</del>	<del>1</del>	Dr. J. E. Demuth IBM Corp. Thomas J. Watson Research Center P.O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, New York 10598	1
Dr. M. H. Chisholm Princeton University Chemistry Department Princeton, New Jersey 08540	1	Dr. C. P. Flynn University of Illinois Department of Physics Urbana, Illinois 61801	1
Dr. J. B. Hudson Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Materials Division Troy, New York 12181	1	Dr. W. Kohn University of California (San Diego) Department of Physics La Jolla, California 92037	1
Dr. John T. Yates National Bureau of Standards Department of Commerce Surface Chemistry Section Washington, D.C. 20234	1	Dr. R. L. Park Director, Center of Materials Research University of Maryland College Park, Maryland 20742	1
Dr. Theodore E. Madey Department of Commerce National Bureau of Standards Surface Chemistry Section Washington, D.C. 20234	1		
Dr. J. M. White University of Texas Department of Chemistry Austin, Texas 78712	1		

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
	Dr. W. T. Peria Electrical Engineering Department University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455	1
	Dr. Narkis Tzoar City University of New York Convent Avenue at 138th Street New York, New York 10031	1
	Dr. Chia-wei Woo Northwestern University Department of Physics Evanston, Illinois 60201	1
	Dr. D. C. Mattis Yeshiva University Physics Department Amsterdam Avenue & 185th Street New York, New York 10033	1
	Dr. Robert M. Hexter University of Minnesota Department of Chemistry Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455	1
	Dr. Leonard Wharton James Franck Institute Department of Chemistry 5640 Ellis Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60637	1
	Dr. M. G. Lagally Department of Metallurgical and Mining Engineering University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706	1
	Dr. Robert Gomer James Franck Institute Department of Chemistry 5640 Ellis Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60637	1
	Dr. R. F. Wallis University of California (Irvine) Department of Physics Irvine, California 92664	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copie</u>
Dr. Stephen H. Carr Department of Materials Science Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1	Dr. G. Goodman Globe Union Inc. 5757 North Green Bay Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201	1
Dr. M. Broadhurst Bulk Properties Section National Bureau of Standards U.S. Department of Commerce Washington, D.C. 20234	2	Picatinny Arsenal SMUPA-FR-M-D Dover, New Jersey 07801 Attn: A. M. Anzalone Bldg. 3401	1
Dr. C. H. Wang Department of Chemistry University of Utah Salt Lake City, Utah 84112	1	Dr. J. K. Gillham Princeton University Department of Chemistry Princeton, New Jersey 08540	1
Dr. T. A. Litovitz Department of Physics Catholic University of America Washington, D.C. 20017	1	Douglas Aircraft Co. 3855 Lakewood Boulevard Long Beach, California 90846 Attn: Technical Library C1 290/36-84 AUTO-Sutton	1
Dr. R. V. Subramanian Washington State University Department of Materials Science Pullman, Washington 99163	1	Dr. E. Baer Department of Macromolecular Science Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106	1
Dr. M. Shen Department of Chemical Engineering University of California Berkeley, California 94720	1	Dr. K. D. Pae Department of Mechanics and Materials Science Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903	1
Dr. V. Stannett Department of Chemical Engineering North Carolina State University Raleigh, North Carolina 27607	1	NASA-Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Dr. T. T. Serofini, MS-49-1	1
Dr. D. R. Uhlmann Department of Metallurgy and Material Science Center for Materials Science and Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139		Dr. Charles H. Sherman, Code TD 121 Naval Underwater Systems Center New London, Connecticut	1
Naval Surface Weapons Center White Oak Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 Attn: Dr. J. M. Augl Dr. B. Hartman	1	<del>Dr. William Risen Department of Chemistry Brown University Providence, Rhode Island 02912</del>	<del>1</del>

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Dr. Alan Gent Department of Physics University of Akron Akron, Ohio 44304	1	Dr. W. A. Spitzig United States Steel Corporation Research Laboratory Monroeville, Pennsylvania 15146	1
Mr. Robert W. Jones Advanced Projects Manager Hughes Aircraft Company Mail Station D 132 Culver City, California 90230	1	Dr. T. P. Conlon, Jr., Code 3622 Sandia Laboratories Sandia Corporation Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115	1
Dr. C. Giori IIT Research Institute 10 West 35 Street Chicago, Illinois 60616	1	Dr. Martin Kaufmann, Head Materials Research Branch, Code 4542 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555	1
Dr. M. Litt Department of Macromolecular Science Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106	1	Dr. T. J. Reinhart, Jr., Chief Composite and Fibrous Materials Branch Nonmetallic Materials Division Department of the Air Force Air Force Materials Laboratory (AFSC) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433	1
Dr. R. S. Roe Department of Materials Science and Metallurgical Engineering University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio 45221	1	Dr. J. Lando Department of Macromolecular Science Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106	
Dr. L. E. Smith U.S. Department of Commerce National Bureau of Standards Stability and Standards Washington, D.C. 20234	1	Dr. J. White Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering University of Tennessee Knoxville, Tennessee 37916	1
Dr. Robert E. Cohen Chemical Engineering Department Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	Dr. J. A. Manson Materials Research Center Lehigh University Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015	1
Dr. David Roylance Department of Materials Science and Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02039	1	Dr. R. F. Helmreich Contract RD&E Dow Chemical Co. Midland, Michigan 48640	1

ED  
78